

Progress in the field

Eva Havrdová

Disclosures

 Dr. Havrdová has received consulting fees from Actelion, Biogen Idec, Merck, Novartis, Receptos, Roche, Teva, and is supported by Czech Ministry of Education, project PRVOUK P26/LF1/4



Historical notes

- Scientific description by J.- M. Charcot 1860 preceded by pathologists description
- Immunology did not exist that time
- No understanding of underlying pathological processes
- No treatment
- Speculation on Viking's genes?



Historical notes



August d'Este 1794-1848

Diary with exact descriptions of attacks, progression of disability and inability of physicians to help



Modern Tx

- Steroids for relapse Tx (1961)
- Standard immunosuppression (azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, methotrexate) 1969 -....
- 1993: IFNB 1b followed by IFNB 1a
- 1999: glatiramer acetate
- 2002: mitoxantrone
- 2006: natalizumab
- 2008: fingolimod
- 2013: teriflunomide, dimethyl fumarate, alemtuzumab
- ? ocrelizumab, daclizuab, cladribine



Changes of Tx paradigm

- Injectables: 1993 6: proven to decrease number of relapses
- Suboptimal response was expected
- Few believed that there is any impact on disease progression – why?
 - Clinical trials: 2 yrs of follow-up, pts with EDSS 0-5.5, variable disease duration, relatively low efficacy



How to choose?

- Criteria in respective countries
- Reimbursement rules
- Shared decisions with patient
- Variety of side effects
- Pregnancy planning
- Concommitant diseases
- Adherence issues



Objectives

- Early diagnosis and early Tx
- Defining sub-optimal response based on close monitoring to reach:
- NEDA-concept;
- Switch to more effective Tx
- Collecting data in real clinical practice registries



First reports from real world clinical practice (Italian Registry)



Age of reaching EDSS 4 – delayed by 4.6 yrs Age of reaching EDSS 6 – delayed by 11.7 yrs Trojano, Ann Neurol 2007 – new natural history with IFNB



Additional factor showed in CIS studies

- When Tx is started MATTERS
- Confirmed by follow up of RW pts in registries



MSBase: RW patients No Tx is the strongest predictor of disability





Treatment initiated at different stages of MS can affect outcomes



Time

(Model)

Routine follow up of MS pts

- Relapses severity, type, response to steroid Tx
- EDSS disability progression, (MSFC rarely)
- MRI not consistently, mostly if pt worsens or experiences severe relapse
- Cognition rarely
- QoL, ADL rarely
- Fatigue rarely

On injectables:

- 62% to 75% pts relapse within 2 yrs
- 20% to 27% pts worsen by \geq 1 point on EDSS within 2 yrs



ECTRIMS 2015, ePoster No EP1276



23.7.2008

14.1.2015





4.11.2008



Goal of Tx today – freedom from disease activity (NEDA)





Department of Neurology and Centre of Clinical Neuroscience Charles University in Prague, 1st Medical Faculty and General University Hospital Havrdová E et al. Lancet Neurol 2009

NEDA 3 -> 4







Kappos, L, et al. Presented at ECTRIMS 2014, 10-13 September. Boston, USA (Presentation FC1.5)



Can we reach freedom from disease activity (NEDA - 3) with old fashioned injectables?

Indirect evidence



1. Cohen et al. Lancet. 2012 Nov 24;380(9856):1819-28 2. Coles et al. Lancet. 2012 Nov 24;380(9856):1829-39.

No of NEDA pts is decreasing in time

Figure 2. Percentages of patients with no evidence of disease activity.



Table 2. Percentages of patients with no evidence of disease activity.



Department of Neurology and Centre of Clinical Neuroscience Charles University in Prague, 1st Medical Faculty and General University Hospital Uher T et al. ECTRIMS 2015, poster A-733-0005-00476

Loosing time

Switching within 1st line DMDs versus 2nd line



RW data from MSBase + TOP:

ARR Time to first relapse Disability progression

Spelman et al. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2015 Apr;2(4):373-87



Not to loose time Switching within 1st line DMDs versus 2nd line

Patients who switched to natalizumab had a 26% reduction in the risk of 3-month confirmed **disability progression**.

ARR was higher in patients who switched to another BRACE therapy (mean, 0.58; SD, 0.86) than in those who switched to natalizumab (mean, 0.20; SD, 0.52) (P < 0.0001), representing a 66% relative reduction in ARR for patients who switched to natalizumab.

NEUROLOGICKÁ KLINIKA

RW data from MSBase + TOP:

ARR Time to first relapse Disability progression

Spelman et al. Ann Clin Transl Neurol 2015 Apr;2(4):373-87

Treatment decision

- SWITCH WITHIN injectables: intolerability, adherence issues, NABs (injectables to orals)
- SWITCH to HIGHER EFFICACY DRUGS:
- Severity of relapses and magnitude of MRI findings
- Risk/benefit discussion and education
- Other factors: pregnancy planning, co-morbidities, JCV Abs positivity, lymphopenia



Brain atrophy development

16 Aug 2006

24 Sep 2009

30 Sep 2012



11 Aug 2008

12 Jan 2012

22 Oct 2013

Brain atrophy and other measures

- Proven correlation with disability development
- More inflammation leads to more atrophy (less reparative processes in action)
- Healthy persons loose 0.1-0.3% of brain tissue / year
- MS patients loose over 0.4% / year
- Not easy to measure in everyday clinical practice



Drugs able to normalize rate of brain atrophy

- Teriflunomide
- Fingolimod
- Alemtuzumab
- Dimethyl fumarate (?)
- Natalizumab (?)
- Ocrelizumab (in registration process)



Fingolimod: Atrophy development according to initial inflammation: FREEDOMS – 2 yrs data



*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 vs placebo; [†]p = 0.061 vs placebo; p-values are for comparisons over Months 0-6, Months 0-12, Months 0-24; patient numbers at baseline were 425 (n = 161 with Gd-enhancing lesions; n = 263 without Gd-enhancing lesions) and 418 (n = 154; n = 262) for fingolimod 0.5 mg and placebo, respectively; Gd, gadolinium; Radue E *et al.* Poster P05.064 presented at *AAN* 2011

CARE-MS Extension: brain tissue loss

Relapsed on Prior Therapy

Treatment-naïve

(CARE-MS I: Percentage Change (CARE-MS II: Percentage Change in BPF Over 3 Years)1-3 in BPF Over 3 Years)1,3,4 Year 0 Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 Year 0 Year 1 Year 2 Year 3 0.0 0.0 -0.2 -0.2 ^oercent Change in BPF ВРГ 48 -0.4 -0.4 **Cumulative Median Cumulative Median** 0.59 -0.62^Dercent Change in -0.6 -0.69-0.6 -0.54 Year 3: -0.87 p<0.0001 -0.8 -0.8 vs baseline -0.98 Year 3: -0.81 p<0.0001 -1.0 -1.0 -0.94 vs baseline -1.2 -1.2 -1.4 -1.4 Subcutaneous IFNB-1a 44 µg -1.6 -1.6 -149Alemtuzumab 12 mg

- Alemtuzumab **slowed the yearly rate of brain volume loss** over 3 years in both treatment-naive patients and those who relapsed on prior therapy¹⁻⁴
 - The mean annual rate of brain volume loss in healthy individuals is 0.1-0.4%^{5,6}

BPF=brain parenchymal fraction. 1. Data on file; 2. Arnold DL et al. Presented at AAN 2012:Platform; 3. Arnold DL et al. AAN 2014:P008; 4. Arnold DL et al. Presented at ECTRIMS 2012:P877; 5. Fotenos AF et al. Arch Neurol 2008; 65:113-20; 6. Miller DH Brain 2002;125:1676-95.

Brain health Time matters in multiple sclerosis

Gavin Giovannoni Helmut Butzkueven Suhayl Dhib-Jalbut Jeremy Hobart Gisela Kobelt George Pepper Maria Pia Sormani Christoph Thalheim Anthony Traboulsee Timothy Vollmer

EMBARGOED 00:01 GMT Wednesday, 7 October, 2015

Preparation of the report and its recommendations was funded by an educational grant from F. Hoffmann-La Roche, who had no influence on the content

NEUROLOGICKÁ KLINIKA

Department of

Charles Unive

Tasks

- For physicians: early diagnosis, early treatment, monitoring of the disease activity, early escalation, multidisciplinary team of MS specialists
- For patients:
 - Fight for access to this high quality care
 - Introduce healthy life style: no smoking, no obesity, decreased amount of salt, exercise, stress management
 - Support of registries to collect real world data



Conclusions

MS has become a treatable disease

We can change the natual course of MS and decrease the rate of progression by EARLY diagnosis followed by EARLY treatment

We need drugs preventing brain loss and disability

... we never ever give up hope !



Acknowledgments:

To all patients enrolled in our studies



To my team (MS Center, Dpt of Neurology, First Medical Faculty, Charles University in Prague

To our radiological team MRI Dpt., First Medical Faculty, Charles University in Prague

